

Western Cape Government

Agriculture

BETTER TOGETHER.

THE REAL COST OF A CARBON TAX

Estimating the Impact of South Africa's 2015 Carbon Tax with an Application to the Agricultural Sector of the Western Cape

Presentation prepared for National Treasury Carbon Tax Modelling Workshop Andrew Partridge Leann Cloete-Beets Vanessa Barends

October 2016

Background

Methodology

Impacts I – South African Economy

Impacts II – South African Agriculture

Impacts III - Other (Extensions)

Conclusions



Background





The NDP: "Chapter 5 - Transition to a Low-Carbon Economy"

- Page 182: "While the Long Term Mitigation Scenarios provided a useful starting point, <u>more</u> <u>detailed analysis is needed</u> to determine the optimal mix of mitigation actions to achieve the desired emission reduction outcomes for each sector and sub-sector of the economy. This work is needed to ensure that actions support job creation and take account of other relevant <u>conditions related to the specific sector, sub-sector or organization concerned</u>"
- Page 187: "Planning for the transition requires a foundation of trustworthy data and analysis, neither of which is reliably and transparently available in South Africa"

Project Objectives:

- Assess the economic impact of South Africa's 2015 Carbon Tax
- Analyse how the impact will affect the Western Cape's Agricultural Sector
- Identify the key potential sources of strain coming as a result of the carbon tax



Partridge, A., Cloete-Beets, L. & Barends, V. 2014. The Effect of a Carbon Tax an Agriculture: An Impact Assessment of South Africa's Proposed Carbon Tax with an Application to the South African Agricultural Sector. Paper presented at the 5th World Congress of Environmental and Resource Economists, Istanbul, 28 June – 2 July 2014.

Partridge, A., Cloete-Beets, L. & Barends, V. 2014. The Real Cost of a Carbon Tax: Estimating the Impact of South Africa's 2015 Carbon Tax with an Application to the Agricultural Sector of the Western Cape. Paper presented at the 2014 Annual Conference of the Agricultural Economics Association of South Africa (AEASA), Mpekweni Beach Resort, 8 September to 01 October 2014.

Partridge, A., Cloete-Beets, L. & Barends, V. 2014. The Real Cost of Going Clean: The Impact of a Carbon Tax on the Agricultural Sector of the Western Cape. Paper presented at the 9th Africa Farm Management Association (AFMA) Congress, Cape Town, 16 - 20 November 2014.

Partridge, A., Cloete-Beets, L. & Barends, V. 2014. The Real Cost of Going Clean: The Potential Impact of South Africa's 2016 Carbon Tax on Agricultural Production. *Professional Agricultural Workers Journal*. 3 (1): 11

Partridge, A. 2015. The Impact of South Africa's Carbon Tax on Agri Processing. Elsenburg: Western Cape Department of Agriculture



Methodology





The Project Model

Model Details

Static Computable General Equilibrium (CGE) Model

Model: International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI)

- Lofgren, Robinson & Harris (2001) "A Standard Computable General Equilibrium (CGE) Model in GAMS"
- Thurlow & van Seventer (2002) "A Standard Computable General Equilibrium Model for South Africa"

Social Accounting Matrix (SAM): United Nations University

- Davies & Thurlow (2011) "A 2009 Social Accounting Matrix for South Africa"
 - 49 Activities (sectors)
 - 85 Commodities (goods and services)
 - 31 Other Accounts



CGE Models





CGE Models





The Project Model

Model Details

Static Computable General Equilibrium Model

- Behavioural changes need to be factored in exogenously
- Allows for analysis of direct and indirect impacts

Tax modelled as an activity tax

- Tax on activity output
- Tax calculated to be equivalent to a R200 tax per ton CO₂-eq emissions
- Tax rate for each activity determined by:
 - Fossil fuel use intensity
 - Taken from Model SAM
 - Emissions attributable to each fuel
 - Taken from South Africa's 2009 Energy Balances provided by the Department of Energy



A Simplified Hypothetical Example

Assume a tax rate of R200 per ton CO2-eq emissions...





A Simplified Hypothetical Example

Assume a tax rate of R200 per ton CO2-eq emissions...









A Simplified Hypothetical Example

Assume a tax rate of R200 per ton CO2-eq emissions...





Project Scenarios

Elementary Tax
R200 per tCO₂-eq emissions

Carbon Efficiency

- R200 per tCO₂-eq emissions
- 20% decline in fossil fuel usage (across the board)

Alternative Electricity

- R200 per tCO₂-eq emissions
- 50% decline in coal use for electricity production

Agriculture Tax Free

- R200 per tCO₂-eq emissions
- Agriculture excluded from tax base



Impacts I – South African Economy





Economy Impacts

Percentage Change in GDP (Market Prices) Resulting from Carbon Tax





Economy Impacts

Percentage Change in Household Income by Income Decile





Economy Impacts

Percentage Change in the Price of Electricity as a Result of the Carbon Tax





Impacts II – South African Agriculture





Percentage Change in Domestic Agricultural Activity Output





Agricultural Production

Indirect vs Direct Impacts of Carbon Tax on Agricultural Production





Shocks and Inputs



$\underline{AC} = (\alpha * \beta)$

Ordinal Measure of the degree to which a shock influences activity



Inputs With Biggest Impact

Commodity Price Increases Most Influencing Agricultural Activity Decline

#	Commodity	% Price Change After Shock (a)	Intermediate Units Per Unit Activity Output (β)	AC (α.β)
1	Electricity & gas distribution	47.70	0.02	0.99
2	Fertilizers & pesticides	3.93	0.20	0.78
3	Petroleum products	6.14	0.06	0.34
4	Metal products	3.63	0.04	0.13
5	Animal feeds	0.80	0.15	0.12
6	Water distribution	11.63	0.01	0.06
7	Pharmaceuticals	1.36	0.03	0.04
8	Made-up textiles	1.62	0.02	0.03
9	Special purpose machinery	3.96	0.01	0.03
10	Vehicles & parts	1.92	0.01	0.03

Commodity Impacts

Changes in Agricultural Commodity Outputs Resulting from Carbon Tax





Impacts III - Other (Extensions)





Go to Insert > Header & Footer > Enter presentation name into footer field

Agri Processing

Changes in Agri Processing Output Resulting from Carbon Tax





Agri Processing Inputs

AC Index for Food Processing Activity

#	Commodity	% Price Change After Shock (a)	Intermediate Units Per Unit Activity Output (β)	ΑC (a.β)
1	Electricity & gas distribution	47.70	0.02	1.068
2	Paper products	14.54	0.02	0.281
3	Plastics	1.13	0.04	0.041
4	Basic chemicals	4.46	0.01	0.030
5	Water distribution	11.63	< 0.01	0.021
6	Construction	2.88	0.01	0.017
7	Miscellaneous business activities	0.26	0.06	0.017
8	Miscellaneous chemicals	2.31	0.01	0.012
9	Dairy	0.17	0.06	0.010
10	Grain milling	0.11	0.07	0.008

Agri Processing Inputs

AC Index for Beverages and Tobacco Activity

#	Commodity	% Price Change After Shock (a)	Intermediate Units Per Unit Activity Output (β)	ΑC (a.β)
1	Paper products	14.54	0.04	0.609
2	Electricity & gas distribution	47.70	0.01	0.406
3	Water distribution	11.63	0.02	0.275
4	Glass products	3.79	0.04	0.167
5	Basic chemicals	4.46	0.02	0.084
6	Plastics	1.13	0.05	0.061
7	Construction	2.88	0.01	0.029
8	Weaving & finishing of fabrics	4.72	0.01	0.025
9	Miscellaneous chemicals	2.31	0.01	0.015
10	Miscellaneous business activities	0.26	0.05	0.013

Agri Processing Inputs

AC Index for Leather Products Activity

#	Commodity	% Price Change After Shock (a)	Intermediate Units Per Unit Activity Output (β)	ΑC (a.β)
1	Electricity & gas distribution	47.70	0.01	0.491
2	Basic chemicals	4.46	0.03	0.144
3	Metal products	3.63	0.03	0.093
4	Paper products	14.54	< 0.01	0.049
5	Weaving & finishing of fabrics	4.72	< 0.01	0.017
6	Plastics	1.13	0.01	0.009
7	Miscellaneous textiles	6.49	< 0.01	0.007
8	Construction	2.88	< 0.01	0.006
9	Soap & related products	0.56	0.01	0.006
10	Water distribution	11.63	< 0.01	0.005

Conclusions





Conclusions... Difficulties / Short-comings

Modelling Difficulties

- Simplification of complex system
- Uncertain policy stances
 - Revenue recycling
 - Offsets
 - Reporting
- Changes in relative prices
 - Electricity: renewables vs fossil fuels
- Data relevance
 - Social Accounting Matrix (2009)





Conclusions... Presentation Summary

Economic impacts of carbon tax worrying

- Sharp drop in Gross Domestic Product
- Disproportionately felt by middle income households

The key factor in the carbon tax impact will be the behavioural reaction

- We have a good idea of how we want behaviour to react, uncertainty around how it will
- Key factor: recycling of tax revenues

Negative impact of carbon tax can be mostly offset through an across the board decline in fossil fuel use intensity

Feasibility?

Negative impacts can also be set off by a targeted intervention at South Africa's Energy Sector

Reduced dependency on coal for electricity production



Conclusions... Presentation Summary

Carbon tax IS a serious threat to South Africa's Agricultural Sector

Direct impact of tax negligible but it is still essential to look to reduce emissions in the sector

- Constitutional mandate
- What about post 2020?
 - Potential for a tax on agricultural emissions

Substantial indirect impacts

- Soaring electricity prices
 - Electricity infrastructure already under strain
- Strong impact also through rising prices of fertilizers and pesticides
- To a lesser extent, but still significant impact from rising prices of petroleum products

Impact on key Western Cape agricultural products greater than the sector's aggregate impact



Produce more with less

- Less electricity
 - Small-scale on-farm electricity production
- Less fertilizers and pesticides
 - Or look to fertilizers and pesticides utilising different production methods

Agriculture could be a key player in transitioning to cleaner energy production

- Biofuels
- Solar, wind, hydro etc.

We don't have much time to plan and the phase-in period has been shortened

- Need to act NOW
- Need to ensure of efficient monitoring systems to collect data to be reviewed regularly



Thank you

Contact Us

